1			
2			
3			
4			
5		ACMPACE COVER	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
9	ROSE-MAI ROBICHAUD, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. C 12-04730 LB	
10	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING ADR PROCESS	
11	v.	ORDER REGARDING ADR FROCESS	
12	SPEEDYPC SOFTWARE, a British Columbia company,	Judge: Honorable Laurel Beeler	
13	Defendant.		
14	Dejenaant.		
15	Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:		
16	The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:		
17			
18	Court Processes:		
19	Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR must participate in an ADR		
20			
21			
22	phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need For ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5.)		
23	Private Process:		
24	<u>X</u> Private ADR (please identify proces	s and provider): The parties agree that	
25	mediation conducted by a neutral selected from the roster at JAMS or a similar provider may be the		
26	most effective method of ADR at the appropriate time.		
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER 1 SELECTING ADR PROCESS	CASE NO. C 12-04730 LB	

1	The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:			
2 3	The presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)			
4	X Other requested deadline: The parties have conferred and agreed that ADR will			
5	be most productive after they have engaged in at least some discovery in the case.			
6	Accordingly, they respectfully request that the Court not schedule a deadline to			
7	proceed with ADR, but rather, that they apprise the Court of their intention to proceed with a			
8	private mediation once scheduled.			
9	Dated: March 28, 2013 /s/ Benjamin H. Richman			
10	Attorney for Plaintiff			
11	Dated: March 28, 2013 /s/ Richard M. Williams			
12	Attorney for Defendant			
13				
14	CONTINUE TO FOLLOWING PAGE			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1		[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	_	The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	_	The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	_	The parties supulation is modified as follows, and TT 15 50 ORDERED.
5		
6		
7		
8	ENITEDED.	
9	ENTERED: _	HONORABLE LAUREL BEELER
10		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	CTIPLII ATION	AND DRODOGED ORDER 2 CAGENO C 12 04720 I D